

### REMARKS

Claims 1-16 are in the application for consideration.

Typographical errors noted in the specification are corrected herein.

Entry of the same is requested.

The Examiner alleges a three way species restriction (or is it a four way species restriction?). The collective identified species are relative to Figs. 7, 8 and 9, although Fig. 8 is stated to constitute a second embodiment as well as a third embodiment. Regardless, claims 1, 6, 7 and 10 are seen to be generic to all stated embodiments. Applicant hereby elects the species represented by Fig. 7. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8 and 10 are readable thereon. However in spite of the restriction requirement, it is requested that the Examiner examine all 16 claims in this application at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 5-14-03

By: 

Mark S. Matkin  
Reg. No. 32,268